Collier County has been developing concepts for improving the overall traffic operations and congestion of the Pine Ridge Corridor from (and including) Livingston Road to Napa Boulevard. The study reviewed current traffic data as well as forecasted future traffic growth through 2040. The review included conventional through-lane and intersection improvements, local road interconnections, and innovative intersection improvements. These improvements resulted in level of service failures as soon as the improvements were initially solved and indicated that more long-lasting solutions were needed.

The analysis of several innovative intersection designs proved to effectively manage the growing traffic throughout the corridor through 2040 and beyond. These concepts will be presented to the committee for consideration and input/comments. To date, no specific plans or decisions have been made regarding this project. We are looking for your input to assist with planning and designing the best possible combination of facilities to serve vehicles, bicycles and pedestrians.

Please help us determine important issues to consider during this study by taking a few minutes to answer the following questions.

1. Do you live or have a business on Pine Ridge Rd., Livingston Rd., Whippoorwill Ln., Napa Blvd.? Please circle appropriate road(s).
   - Yes □   No □

2. Do you use any portion of the Pine Ridge Corridor to commute to work or school? If so, please describe _________________________________________________
   - Yes □   No □

3. What is your most frequent destination? Examples: Work-via I-75 N., Work-West of I-75; School–Barron Collier HS

4. What are the three (3) most influential factors that you consider when choosing a route for your destination?
   - Congestion □    Proximity □    Condition of road □    Safety □
   - Scenic □    Quickest □    Minimal traffic signals □    Construction □

5. Please indicate what priority the County should consider the following alternatives:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Priority</th>
<th>Medium Priority</th>
<th>Low Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adding more vehicle traffic lanes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding lanes dedicated to car pools or buses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing and/or improving CAT / bus service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing and/or improving sidewalks, trails and bicycle facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving vehicle / traffic safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving pedestrian safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing road network connections (creating new road facilities)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing relief for current and future congestion within the Corridor Study Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Which one (1) of the following options for innovative intersection improvements at Pine Ridge Rd. and Livingston Rd. would you prefer? Please check your choice.

   A: Continuous Flow Intersection (CFI)  C: Jug-Handle Intersection
   B: Under-Pass - Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) (Livingston Rd. Under (as a tunnel)/Pine Ridge Rd. Over (at-grade))

   D: Over-Pass - Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) (Livingston Rd. Over (as an overpass)/Pine Ridge Rd. Under (at-grade))

7. What is your familiarity or experience with any of the innovative intersection improvements discussed?

8. In the space provided, please make comments and recommendations for the Pine Ridge Corridor Study. If you need additional space, please use the other side of this form.
Name: 

Address: 

City, State, Zip: 

Phone Number: 

Email: 

Please return comments to:
Growth Management Department
Attn: Connie Deane, Community Liaison
2885 Horseshoe Drive South
Naples, FL 34104

You may also send your comments via e-mail to:
conniedeane@colliergov.net
Or FAX to 239-252-2726

Please provide your comments below. If more space is needed, please use an additional sheet of paper. You may place your comments in the “Comment Box” provided at the meeting, or send to Connie Deane at the address above by **Monday, July 17, 2017**. Emailed comments are also acceptable.

________________________
________________________
________________________
________________________
________________________
________________________
________________________
________________________
________________________
________________________
________________________
________________________

**Evaluation**

☐ Yes ☐ No 1. Were the purpose and goals of this meeting clearly explained? If not, what additional information do you need to know?

________________________
________________________

☐ Yes ☐ No 2. Was the facility appropriate for this meeting?

☐ Yes ☐ No 3. Was the meeting productive?

☐ Yes ☐ No 4. Was the format of this meeting effective?